

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 8-K

**CURRENT REPORT
PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**Date of report (date of earliest event reported):
May 2, 2006**

PDL BIOPHARMA, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation)

000-19756
(Commission File No.)

94-3023969
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

**34801 Campus Drive
Fremont, California 94555**
(Address of principal executive offices)

**Registrant's telephone number, including area code:
(510) 574-1400**

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions (see General Instruction A.2. below):

- Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)
 - Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
 - Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
 - Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))
-

Item 2.02. Results of Operations and Financial Condition.

On May 2, 2006, PDL BioPharma, Inc. (“we” or the “company”) conducted a webcast conference call regarding the Company’s financial results for the quarter ended March 31, 2006. The transcript of the conference call is attached as Exhibit 99.1 to this current report on Form 8-K and is incorporated herein by reference.

Use of Non-GAAP Financial Information

To supplement the information that is presented in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”), in our historical information for the period presented as well as our forward-looking guidance in the conference call, we provide certain non-GAAP financial measures that exclude from the directly comparable GAAP measures certain non-cash and other charges. These non-GAAP financial measures exclude depreciation of property and equipment, stock-based compensation expense, amortization of intangible assets, interest income and other, net, interest expense, income taxes and certain other items. We believe that these non-GAAP measures enhance an investor’s overall understanding of our financial performance and future prospects by reconciling more closely to the actual cash expenses of the Company in its operations as well as excluding expenses that in management’s view are unrelated to our core operations, the inclusion of which may make it more difficult for investors and financial analysts reporting on the Company to compare our results from period to period. Non-GAAP financial measures should not be considered in isolation from, or as a substitute for, financial information presented in compliance with GAAP, and non-GAAP financial measures as reported by the Company may not be comparable to similarly titled items reported by other companies.

Item 9.01 Financial Statements and Exhibits.

(d) Exhibits.

| <u>Exhibit No.</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|--------------------|---|
| 99.1 | Transcript of webcast conference call, held on May 2, 2006, regarding the first quarter 2006 financial results of PDL BioPharma, Inc. |

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

Date: May 8, 2006

PDL BIOPHARMA, INC.

By: /s/ Andrew Guggenime

Andrew Guggenime
Senior Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

PDL BioPharma, Inc.
Earnings Conference Call regarding Q1 2006 Financial Results
Event Date/Time: May 2, 2006 / 4:30PM ET

CORPORATE PARTICIPANTS

Jim Goff

PDL BioPharma - Senior Director, Investor Relations

Mark McDade

PDL BioPharma - Chief Executive Officer

Steven Benner

PDL BioPharma - Chief Medical Officer

Andrew Guggenhime

PDL BioPharma - Chief Financial Officer

CONFERENCE CALL PARTICIPANTS

Joel Sendek

Lazard Freres & Co. - Analyst

Bret Holley

CIBC World Markets - Analyst

Heather

Smith Barney Citigroup - Analyst

George Farmer

Wachovia Securities - Analyst

Tom McGahren

Merrill Lynch - Analyst

Eric Hoffman

JP Morgan Chase & Co. - Analyst

Jason Zhang

Prudential Equity Group, LLC - Analyst

Phil Nadeau

SG Cowen & Co. - Analyst

Operator

Good day and welcome to the PDL BioPharma first quarter earnings release conference call. Today's call is being recorded. For opening remarks and introductions, I would now like to turn the call over to Mr. James Goff. Please go ahead, sir.

Jim Goff - *PDL BioPharma - Senior Director, Investor Relations*

Good afternoon everyone, and thank you for joining us today. With me are Mark McDade, Chief Executive Officer; Dr. Steven Benner, Chief Medical Officer; and Andrew Guggenhime, Chief Financial Officer.

During today's call, Mark will provide an overview of first quarter operating highlights, Steve will provide an overview of our clinical development programs, and Andrew will discuss our first quarter results and updated financial guidance.

Let me remind you that the information we'll cover today contains forward-looking statements regarding our financial performance, clinical milestones and other matters, and our actual results may differ materially from those, expressed or implied, in the forward-looking statements. Factors that may cause differences between current expectations and actual results are described in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, copies of which may be obtained at the "Investors" section on our website at www.pdl.com. The forward-looking statements made in this presentation should be considered accurate

only as of the date of this presentation. Although we may elect to update forward-looking statements from time to time in the future, we specifically disclaim any duty or obligation to do so, even as new information becomes available or other events occur in the future.

Our discussion today will include the presentation of non-GAAP measures of our performance, in addition to our GAAP financial information. We believe that these non-GAAP financial measures provide added insight into our performance by focusing on our ongoing core operations, and we use these non-GAAP financial measures for our own measurement purposes. Please consider these non-GAAP financial measures in addition to – not as a substitute for – our GAAP financial measures. For a reconciliation between our non-GAAP financial measures and their most directly comparable GAAP financial measures, please consult the press release we issued this afternoon, a copy of which may be obtained at the “Investors” section on our website at www.pdl.com.

I’ll now turn the call over to Mark McDade, Chief Executive Officer.

Mark McDade - *PDL BioPharma - Chief Executive Officer*

Thanks Jim. Thanks to all of you for joining today’s call.

In the first quarter of 2006, we continued to build upon the significant commercial and pipeline progress made in 2005. We increased sales of Cardene and Busulfex, as current NDC data for these products show gross sales were up year-over-year by nearly 39 percent and 13 percent, respectively. Our royalty revenue, thanks to our partners’ efforts, grew to roughly \$44 million, a 33 percent increase versus the same quarter of 2005 and more than the entire year in 2002. At the same time, we’re making steady progress with our three leading clinical-stage drug programs, as we push ahead with terlipressin, *Nuvion* and ularitide in our efforts to ensure our registration programs for all three are underway by the end of this year.

On Friday of this week in New York City, we are hosting our first ever business update, focused on our three marketed products and future commercial opportunities, so we’ll keep our product comments brief on this call. But we’re pleased with the ongoing growth for Cardene and Busulfex, while we’ve also been stemming the volume and share decreases for Retavase with focused emergency department promotional efforts. Based on our recent performance and our outlook for the balance of the year, we reaffirm product sales guidance from February 2006, in the range of \$175 to \$185 million for the full year.

On the pipeline front, we are making noteworthy progress. As a result of successful talks with the European regulatory authorities to define ularitide’s registration trial requirements, we believe we’re on track to meet our stated aim of initiating treatment of patients in a large EU registration study in acute decompensated heart failure before the end of this year. But our anticipated resource requirements are now dramatically larger than previously estimated, based on the fact that a much larger number of patients and two trials, rather than one, will be required for regulatory submission to the EMEA. Based on the endpoints we’ll be pursuing, the two studies combined will be more than three times larger than we had estimated at the beginning of this calendar year. Clearly, this increase will have a considerable impact on our overall operating expenses, and is the major cause of our revised earnings guidance as described in our press release and further on this call. We are disappointed to revise our guidance but we view this as a highly positive outcome of our discussions under Scientific Advice to date.

For *Nuvion*, we continue to believe we’re on track for potential Phase 3 initiation of our Phase 2/3 study by year’s end, but have taken on additional efforts to increase enrollment as well as initiate development of this agent for use in severe Crohn’s disease, again impacting overall operating expense and earnings guidance for the full year. For terlipressin, we announced during the quarter that our partner Orphan Therapeutics has completed Phase 3 enrollment, and we continue our launch preparation efforts as we eagerly await the efficacy results from this study, anticipated by the end of the third quarter this year. Consequently, we’re on track with three programs on clearly defined registrational paths.

Steve will shortly address our development highlights for the quarter, as well as reinforce anticipated key timelines and milestones for the remainder of this year. I'd like to emphasize just how robust our activities are in later-stage clinical development, with three programs in or moving into registration phase this year, and three programs in Phase 2, including initial open-label data from the M200 program. Coupled with the *Nuvion* Crohn's disease data expected later this month at DDW, terlipressin top-line pivotal data in late Q3 and both *Nuvion* and ularitide Phase 3 studies expected to be underway in the fourth quarter, we've never had as much simultaneous and large late-stage development activity, or progress, as we have currently at PDL. Two of these programs – ularitide and *Nuvion* — are the sole responsibility of PDL worldwide, so we're making the ongoing investments necessary to ensure optimal progress against our stated clinical and commercial objectives.

While we are confident that these significantly increased investments best position PDL for success over the long-term, we do recognize that, for the first time in several years, we are acknowledging that one of our key aims, in this case an increase in non-GAAP earnings over last year, may not be achieved, though we do expect to maintain our earnings-positive trajectory. I want to assure you that we're not sitting by complacently. We've already initiated specific actions at the senior team level, focused not on cost-cutting, but on revenue-generation through various types of partnerships and collaborations, and we believe at least one of those initiatives could favorably impact our earnings this year.

First, in part due to the significant interest in ularitide, we're stepping up our partnering efforts to ensure we provide this program the optimum level of resources that it deserves, on a global scale. We've always indicated plans to partner ularitide in some fashion to ensure that the compound's broad potential in various patient settings is fully realized. The phase 2 results and compelling profile of this candidate have already garnered significant interest from potential partners. We're eager to look more closely at various options now that we've obtained the endorsement of the EMEA behind a clearly defined registration path in the EU. It goes without saying that we won't be speculating on specific parameters at this stage, but hopefully you see based on our track record, that we'll design a collaboration for ularitide that is right for PDL and right to get this important new therapy to the broadest number of patients that need it.

The second area of focus is on leveraging our antibody expertise and biologics manufacturing capabilities. We've been repeatedly approached to consider joint arrangements around certain of our other antibody-based programs and capabilities, including manufacturing, and we are considering at least one additional partnership that could result in a new revenue or expense reimbursement either late this year or in 2007. Ideally, such an opportunity may also lead to a program, which our commercial organization could co-promote in a similar or slightly later time-period. As background on our manufacturing progress, we continue to track to a mid-year validation of our new manufacturing facility in Brooklyn Park, Minnesota, which will culminate in a significant milestone: completing the facility on-time and on budget. Shortly after, we will begin moving both *Nuvion* and daclizumab into the new site, enabling commercial site and scale production of those antibodies, so as to keep manufacturing off the critical path timelines for those programs. We will also utilize the new facility for the generation of early clinical material, enabling our predicted run rate of 1 new IND per year. These plans for our near-term capacity will allow us to decommission and divest our separate small-scale facility in Plymouth, Minnesota, where to date, all PDL clinical materials have been produced. Even in light of our plans of full consolidation for the new facility and divesting the current small-scale plant, we believe we could utilize still-existing capacity, until full commercialization of our own antibody-based products, in a strategic fashion by forging one or possibly two antibody partnerships.

None of these efforts in our view will distract us from our near- or long-term aims, but should indicate to you that we're fervently working to build shareholder value while balancing growth of our financial and operating performance simultaneously with growth of our sizeable later-stage clinical portfolio.

The team continues to grow at PDL, as we're now joined today by our new CFO, Andrew Guggenhime, who started the first week in April, and we've recently announced a new board member, Brad Goodwin, who brings a solid industry and financial background to PDL's board, essential skills as we continue to grow. Coupled with internal growth focused in the areas of development and regulatory affairs, our aims continue to be oriented to successful achievement of 2006 goals while at the same time building in our ability to deliver on our longer-term Vision 2010.

Thanking our team of outside advisors and employees, as well as you for your ongoing commitment and enthusiasm, I'd like to now turn the call over to Steve Benner, our chief medical officer.

Steven Benner - *PDL BioPharma - Chief Medical Officer*

Thanks, Mark.

I'd like to focus first on an update of the three products we believe are closest to market, terlipressin, *Nuvion* and ularitide, and then briefly discuss our partnered products, daclizumab and volociximab, or M200 and HuZAF.

Terlipressin, a vasoactive peptide, has both an Orphan Drug and Fast Track designation as a potential therapy for type 1 hepatorenal syndrome. An ongoing Phase 3 clinical trial is being conducted by our partner, Orphan Therapeutics. Terlipressin is an approved drug in Europe for the treatment of esophageal varices, another complication of advanced liver disease. Type 1 hepatorenal syndrome is associated with a very high mortality rate and currently there are no approved medical therapies. As we reported during the first quarter, the trial has completed enrollment with a total of 112 patients, and we expect to report the study results by the fourth quarter of 2006. If the study results are positive, we anticipate that the NDA could be filed early in the first quarter of 2007, hopefully leading to a mid-2007 approval. We expect to issue top-line results from the study in Q3 and, timing dependent, hope to present them at a major liver disease meeting, the American Association for the Study of Liver Disease, also known as AASLD, which takes place in Boston in late October.

Nuvion, or visilizumab, our humanized anti-CD3 antibody, is in development for the treatment of IV steroid-refractory ulcerative colitis. In the first quarter we initiated the enrollment in the first pivotal trial, the Phase 2/3 study, and believe we are tracking, pending a positive DSMB review later this year, to initiate a second pivotal Phase 3 study by the end of this calendar year. I'll remind you that the DSMB analysis of the first study is triggered by the enrollment of 60 patients; while we will not be made aware of the specific DSMB's findings, we do intend to announce advancement into the next phase, as well as the launch of the second phase 3 study, when we learn their outcome of their recommendation.

As part of this comprehensive development program, a second study of *Nuvion* in intravenous steroid-refractory ulcerative colitis patients has also opened. This study is allowing us to gain additional experience with low doses of *Nuvion* and will ultimately contribute to the pool of patients eligible for retreatment with *Nuvion*. We anticipate that the majority of the sites currently participating in this trial will participate in the second pivotal trial when it is opened later this year. We continue to plan a study in pediatric ulcerative colitis patients and in steroid-dependent ulcerative colitis patients. The timing for these studies is initiation following the start of the second pivotal trial.

Two open-label pilot studies of *Nuvion* in severe Crohn's disease are ongoing. At the upcoming DDW conference in Los Angeles, there will be an oral presentation of the initial findings of one of the Crohn's disease pilot studies on Wednesday May 24. As we indicated earlier this year, we have seen activity with *Nuvion* in this setting, including patients previously exposed or unresponsive to infliximab. We are currently creating a development plan to guide future studies of *Nuvion* in Crohn's disease, and will plan on discussing this in greater detail at our fall R&D update in New York. We believe that the use of *Nuvion* as a treatment for Crohn's disease may be an opportunity for an additional *Nuvion* indication, following the initial registration of *Nuvion* for intravenous steroid-refractory ulcerative colitis. Our updated expense guidance reflects our additional cost estimates for initiation of these Crohn's disease efforts later this year as well as the ongoing development costs for *Nuvion* in UC.

As Mark summarized, we have also made significant recent progress in development of ularitide, a natriuretic peptide derived from the prohormone of ANP, which showed promise in a large Phase 2 trial last year. In Europe, you will recall that we have been pursuing the Scientific Advice procedure, which enables companies to obtain advanced input from the EMEA regarding pivotal trial designs before progressing into a pivotal program. We have now received written advice from the EMEA regarding our development program. Our discussions with the EMEA in this regard have been extremely encouraging, reaffirming our view that the next appropriate step in Europe is phase 3 pivotal trials. Based on these discussions, we intend to conduct two pivotal trials to support the registration of ularitide in the EU. We expect the first pivotal trial to begin enrollment in the fourth quarter, fulfilling our stated goal of having three programs in pivotal trials this year. We expect this initial study to be a trial of approximately 3,000 patients hospitalized with acute decompensated heart failure. This trial will be over three times larger than the initial estimate, based on the study endpoint and the need to establish a robust safety database, as requested by the agency. The study will compare infusions of ularitide at 15 ng/kg/min to placebo, as an addition to standard therapy. The ularitide dose was chosen based on the findings of the earlier SIRIUS I and SIRIUS II trials. The primary study will likely use a co-primary endpoint of dyspnea and patient global assessment. The study will also include secondary endpoints to assess patient improvement and pharmacoeconomics. In previous trials, treatment with ularitide was not associated with any deterioration of renal function or negative impact on mortality. We expect the planned pivotal trial program to confirm these findings. The second pivotal trial will get underway by mid 2007. This is a much smaller, 300-patient study that will assess the hemodynamic effects of ularitide in a similar patient population. It is likely that both pivotal trials will have the accrual from sites throughout Europe, North America and Australia. At our R&D day meeting this fall, we will provide more information on the specific details of the pivotal trials program for ularitide.

In the U.S., the most likely step is a small study of approximately 50 to 60 heart failure patients to address the effect of higher ularitide doses. If this approach is followed, then we hope to be in a position to review these findings with the FDA and discuss our U.S. registration strategy in 2007. We have an open IND and are in the process of finalizing the study design for the U.S. trial, based on comments previously received from the FDA.

We are excited to be on the verge of finalizing our registration plan for ularitide in the EU and to be moving this potentially important treatment option into pivotal studies. As Mark already mentioned, the large scale of this program will have an impact on our R&D spending in 2006 and Andrew will discuss this aspect shortly. We are committed to moving this program forward and are taking steps to initiate the first pivotal trial this year. We are also of the view that the steps we are taking in Europe could have a favorable impact on our U.S. timelines as well, given the increased size and scope of the newly-planned EU registration studies.

For daclizumab, our anti-IL-2 receptor antibody, both single-dose and multiple-dose studies of subcutaneously administered, PDL-manufactured daclizumab in healthy volunteers have completed dosing. We are in the process of collecting data from these trials. These studies are a necessary component of switching to the PDL-manufactured antibody that is formulated for subcutaneous administration. In collaboration with our partner Roche, the next step in the development of daclizumab in asthma is a Phase 2b dose-range finding study in patients with chronic, persistent asthma. We hope to begin this study, in collaboration with Roche, in the second half of 2006.

You will recall that we also have an ongoing study of daclizumab in patients with relapsing/remitting multiple sclerosis. This trial, initiated by PDL, is now part of our collaboration with Biogen Idec. The initial, randomized, placebo-controlled study evaluates daclizumab as an add-on to beta-interferon in patients with active relapsing forms of MS, using the Roche-manufactured antibody. We are pleased to report that in March, we completed enrollment of this study with 230 patients randomized, exceeding our recent projections. We hope that this trial confirms the activity in a blinded study, in a similar patient population as studied at the NIH in open-label trials. We anticipate the initial results of the study will be available in the first half of 2007. Through our collaboration with Biogen Idec, a second monotherapy trial is expected to be initiated in the third quarter of this year, using PDL-manufactured antibody as a

monotherapy in relapsing-remitting MS patients. This development plan will allow us to have data from two randomized trials in MS and is not expected to significantly prolong our timeline to Phase 3 studies in MS. The monotherapy trial is planned as a randomized, placebo-controlled study evaluating three daclizumab dosing regimens. The study will have an MRI endpoint and is expected to enroll 264 patients. We are pleased to have the expertise of Biogen Idec in MS to help us strengthen the development of daclizumab in this disease setting.

Daclizumab is additionally partnered with Roche for development as a maintenance agent for solid organ transplants. Our future plans for the new transplant maintenance efforts will be discussed in greater detail later this year, as we and our partner, Roche, fully refine and agree on our next steps.

Volociximab, also known as M200, is an anti-angiogenic antibody that binds to the Alpha 5-Beta1 integrin and is under development as a treatment for solid tumors. We are developing volociximab in collaboration with our partner Biogen Idec. The initial open-label Phase 2 results will be presented in three posters during the upcoming ASCO meeting in June. As we've indicated, these trials are small open-label studies including approximately 80 patients. Results of such early trials are not typically definitive, so we would be encouraged by signs of tolerability and any potential activity suggested by the findings. In the event these initial results prove positive, we would anticipate moving forward with additional trials this year. So far in 2006, I believe we've made significant progress against our stated aims, beginning perhaps most importantly with early completion of enrollment in the terlipressin pivotal study and a sense of clarity on our registration path in Europe for ularitide. Based on this progress, we continue to expect to achieve our goal of having three programs in pivotal trials, and potentially one of them completed, by the fourth quarter of 2006. Our other antibody partnered with Biogen Idec is HuZAF, which is currently in a small Phase 2 study in rheumatoid arthritis.

We also expect to initiate the first human treatment using our newest, still undisclosed humanized antibody this year, in the fourth quarter of this year, as a potential therapy for multiple myeloma. This will mark entry into the clinic of our second highly-novel anti-cancer antibody.

I'll now turn the call to Andrew Guggenhime to discuss our financials.

Andrew Guggenhime - *PDL BioPharma - Chief Financial Officer*

Great. Thanks, Steve, and good afternoon everyone.

Since this is my first PDL earnings call, let me simply say that it's great to be here. Some of you that I've had the opportunity to visit with by phone have asked what attracted me to PDL. The answers are probably somewhat obvious, but the very significant attractions are a strong commercial base, a diverse revenue stream, a company with a favorable earnings trajectory, and the opportunity to work with an outstanding management team that has accomplished a great deal in transforming this company in a relatively short period of time. I thank you for joining the call today and I look forward to meeting many of you in person in the days and weeks ahead to learn more about your perspective and your thoughts on the company.

I'll begin today by reviewing financial highlights for the first quarter, and then update our 2006 guidance.

Total revenues increased to \$90.5 million in the first quarter of 2006 from \$38.8 million in the first quarter of 2005. This increase of almost \$52 million was primarily driven by the addition of product sales as we marked our first year with commercial sales operations.

On that note, PDL recognized net product sales of \$36.8 million in the first quarter of 2006. As you know, our three marketed products are Cardene I.V. for the short-term treatment of hypertension when oral therapy is not feasible or desirable; Retavase, used to dissolve coronary blood clots and improve blood flow in heart attack patients; and IV Busulfex, a conditioning agent used in connection with blood and marrow transplants in chronic myelogenous leukemia. Of total net product sales in the first quarter, sales of these three products comprised \$35.7 million, or 97% of our product sales, while sales of the off-patent branded products comprised the remaining \$1.1 million. The off-patent branded products were divested during the quarter, and will not materially contribute to revenues going forward.

We are encouraged by these results, which we believe closely track with end user demand. The combination of our continued progress in lowering wholesaler inventories, and access to better data regarding activity in the channel, has improved our visibility into end user demands. Cardene continues to be placed on more hospital protocols each day as our recently expanded sales force reaches more customers. With Retavase, we have seen positive market trends that we'll review at Friday's update, including a sustained increase in our share of voice in a market that has slowly declined during the past year.

With regard to our royalties, we continue to enjoy significant growth thanks to the efforts of our partners. For the first quarter, royalty revenue totaled \$44.0 million, up 33% from the \$33.2 million in the first quarter of last year. Of particular note were the increases related to underlying sales growth of Herceptin and Avastin antibody products generated by our partner Genentech.

Our final revenue component is license and other revenues, which, for the first quarter, totaled \$9.7 million, up from \$4.7 million in the same period in 2005 due primarily to the new Biogen Idec collaboration that was entered into in August of last year. Revenue from the Biogen Idec collaboration comprised approximately three-quarters of our total license and other revenue during the quarter. As we have discussed before, there are two important elements of this revenue category. The first is payments for reimbursement of expenses, which are recognized as revenue, and the second is upfront fees and milestones under our collaborations, which are amortized over time.

Now let's turn to expenses. Our cost of product sales was \$23.0 million in the first quarter compared to \$1.1 million in the first quarter of 2005. Excluding non-cash amortization of product costs associated with the purchases of ESP Pharma and Retavase, non-GAAP cost of product sales was \$12.4 million in the first quarter of 2006, compared to \$77,000 in Q1 of last year. Based on non-GAAP cost of product sales, our gross margin for this most recent quarter was approximately 66%. Our margins during this first quarter were negatively impacted by the structure of our outbound royalty agreements related to our marketed products. As I'll discuss in a moment, we expect our margins to improve over the balance of the year.

SG&A expenses increased to \$32.8 million, compared to \$7.7 million in the first quarter of 2005. Non-GAAP SG&A expenses, which exclude depreciation and stock-based compensation costs, as well as in this first quarter a \$4.1 million charge related to the sale of our off-patent products, totaled \$24.6 million, up from \$7.5 million in the prior year. This increase was primarily attributable to the addition of a sales and marketing team in connection with our acquisition of ESP Pharma, the expansion of our sales team subsequent to the acquisition and an overall increase in marketing and promotional efforts.

Research and development expenses increased to \$61.2 million in the first quarter of 2006, compared with \$35.3 million in the same three months of 2005. On a non-GAAP basis, R&D expenses increased from \$31.3 million in Q1 2005 to \$51.0 million this year. The growth in research and development expenses reflects our continued increase in clinical trial activities, in particular for the recently initiated Phase 2/3 study of *Nuvion* in IV steroid-refractory UC, daclizumab and ularitide; for clinical affairs activities related to our marketed products; and for scale-up and preclinical activities related to the new myeloma antibody.

Total costs and expenses were \$117.3 million in the first quarter of 2006, compared with \$123.5 million in the first quarter of 2005. First quarter 2005 results included a non-cash charge of \$79.4 million for acquired in-process research and development related to the ESP Pharma acquisition. Excluding this charge and other non-cash expenses related to amortization, depreciation and stock-based compensation, as well as acquisition-related charges, non-GAAP costs and expenses in the first quarter of 2006 were \$88.0 million, up from \$38.9 million in the same quarter last year.

Our non-GAAP net income for the first quarter of 2006 was \$2.5 million, or 2 cents per basic and diluted share, compared with approximately breakeven results in the 2005 first quarter on the same basis. Our GAAP net loss for the first quarter of this and last year was \$26.2 and \$83.9 million, respectively, or, on a per share basis, 23 and 87 cents, respectively.

I would like to add a note of explanation in regard to the number of shares used in the computation of GAAP and non-GAAP net income per diluted share. For the first quarter of 2006, the GAAP calculation is based on 112.5 million shares, and the non-GAAP calculation on 118.3 million diluted shares. The difference is related to the inclusion, in the non-GAAP diluted shares number, of stock options and restricted stock under the treasury stock method as well as shares in escrow. These shares are excluded from the GAAP diluted shares number because they are anti-dilutive. I would also point out that the shares underlying our convertible notes, totaling approximately 23 million, are not included in either calculation because, under the if-converted method, it would be anti-dilutive.

I'd now like to turn to our updated financial guidance for 2006.

As both Mark and Steve have discussed, the scope of our clinical trials, particularly for ularitide, and the resultant impact on our 2006 expenses, is considerably larger than we had estimated earlier this year. While we are making other, less significant changes to our outlook for the year, the most noteworthy change is the increase in projected research and development expenses.

Starting at the top line, for full year 2006, PDL expects total revenues of \$400 to \$430 million, a slight reduction of \$5 million off of our original guidance. For product sales, we are reaffirming our original guidance of \$175 to \$185 million, though we now expect to come in at the lower end of this range due primarily to softness in Retavase sales. For royalties, we also are affirming our original guidance of \$170 to \$180 million, and we expect to come in at the upper end of this range.

With regard to product sales, it's important to note why we remain confident in our ability to achieve our full year estimate. First, our sales team continues to become more productive. Approximately 45 of our 105 sales professionals were hired in May 2005 or later, and we believe that it takes at least a full year for them to achieve peak productivity, so our newer team members are still ramping up the curve. Second, we've just launched new promotional campaigns for both Retavase and Cardene, important in both of these promotion-sensitive markets. And third, our insight into prescribing patterns and market dynamics for each of the products reinforces our current guidance. We believe that the collective impact of these factors will enable us to achieve the continued growth over the balance of the year for product sales that we are projecting.

For our final revenue component, license and other revenue, we are slightly reducing our guidance for the year, to \$55 to \$65 million, to reflect a reduction in anticipated expense reimbursement related to our collaborations with Biogen Idec and Roche. This change is related to the timing of when we expect to incur expenses, and generate the resultant reimbursement revenue, as well as a reallocation of program responsibilities, collectively resulting in lower estimated revenues this year than initially expected.

On a non-GAAP basis, excluding amortization charges, PDL anticipates total cost of product sales of approximately \$42 million for 2006, up slightly from our original estimate due to an anticipated reduction in our gross margin percentage for the year to approximately 77 percent. This margin percentage decline is due, among other things, to higher than anticipated product returns and discounts. We expect our margins to expand over the balance of the year, not because we expect drastic improvements in our operations, but simply due to the nature of our outbound royalty agreements. The royalty rate that we pay in connection with sales of our marketed products declines as sales increase, and the clock resets at the beginning of each fiscal year. In the case of Cardene, the stepdown in the rate is significant. As a result, we expect our Q1 gross margin percentage of 66% to be the lowest in any quarter this year, and we expect significant margin enhancement in the second and third quarters of this year, such that our average margin for the year is estimated at approximately 77%.

Moving on to our operating expenses; for the year, we are now expecting research and development expenses on a non-GAAP basis of between \$257 and \$267 million, a significant increase over our prior guidance directly as a result of higher expenses than originally estimated for clinical development of our planned pivotal programs for ularitide and, to a lesser degree, *Nuvion*, as previously discussed.

We expect non-GAAP selling, general and administrative expenses of \$93 to \$98 million, within our prior guidance, although with a tighter range.

For the full year 2006, PDL anticipates non-GAAP net income of \$8 to \$23 million. This translates to 7 to 19 cents per share assuming a diluted weighted average share count for non-GAAP results of approximately 121 million shares. This share estimate is higher than the one we previously issued and includes the estimated impact of stock options and restricted stock both using the treasury stock method as well as shares in escrow. It does not include the shares underlying our convertible notes because the inclusion of such shares would be anti-dilutive.

Excluded from these non-GAAP numbers, for reconciliation purposes, are the following estimated expenses for 2006:

- Stock-based compensation, which we cannot estimate with certainty at this time, but which we anticipate will be between \$30 and \$35 million;
- Depreciation of property and equipment of \$30 to \$35 million;
- Amortization of intangibles of \$44 million;
- Charges incurred in Q1 related to the sale of PDL's off-patent products and to ESP Pharma operations of \$4.1 million and \$400,000, respectively; and
- Finally, interest income and other, net, interest expense and income taxes, the net impact of which we expect to be approximately neutral to slightly negative in 2006.

On a GAAP basis, because we expect to be in a loss position for the year, the impact of all common stock equivalents would be anti-dilutive. And, therefore, our estimated weighted average shares outstanding on a GAAP basis is approximately 114 million shares. Before I turn the call back over to Jim for Q&A, I do want to re-emphasize how pleased I am to be here at PDL. Today marks my 30th day here at the Company, and I've been particularly struck during this time by the quality of the people here and their passion for and commitment to PDL's compelling vision. I look forward to working with this team on a number of initiatives that we will be focusing on going forward, including financial reporting, strategic planning and budgeting, capital structure review and strategic opportunities, among many others. And as I indicated during my opening remarks, I plan on spending time with many of you on this call to get your perspective and thoughts on the Company. Your feedback is important, and I look forward to meeting you in the coming weeks and months.

And with that, I'll turn the call back over to Jim.

Jim Goff - PDL BioPharma - Senior Director, Investor Relations

Thanks very much, Mark, Steve and Andrew.

That concludes our prepared remarks. Before we begin our Q&A session, I would just quickly like to remind everyone that tomorrow, we will be presenting at the Deutsche Bank Securities healthcare conference in Boston.

And, of special interest – coming up later this week on Friday, we will be holding our first business update for the financial community to review the commercial strategies for our marketed products, including Cardene IV, Retavase and IV Busulfex, as well as to discuss the opportunities we see for our more advanced pipeline programs. And if you would like additional information on this event, please contact our investor relations department.

Operator, at this time please begin the Q and A.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Operator

Thank you. [OPERATOR INSTRUCTIONS] Sir, our first question comes from Mr. Joel Sendek of Lazard Capitals Markets. Please proceed with your question.

Joel Sendek - *Lazard Freres & Co. - Analyst*

Hi. Thanks. I have a question on the royalty income. I am wondering if the Genentech product sales for the fourth quarter reached a threshold for a lower royalty rate, in your reported first quarter.

Andrew Guggenhime - *PDL BioPharma - CFO*

Joel, this is Andrew. Nice to talk with you again. Yes, they did.

Joel Sendek - *Lazard Freres & Co. - Analyst*

Okay. And are you disclosing that or are we left to guess that?

Mark McDade - *PDL BioPharma - CEO*

We're not disclosing the amount of the reduction, Joel.

Joel Sendek - *Lazard Freres & Co. - Analyst*

Okay. All right. That's all. Thank you very much.

Operator

Thank you, sir. Our next question comes from Mr. Brett Holley of CIBC. Please proceed with your question.

Brett Holley - *CIBC World Markets - Analyst*

I had a question on the increase in the ularitide program in Europe. Is this just based on caution or on [inaudible] or is this some kind of change in the regulatory environment in the EU that you hadn't anticipated before? Can we get extra additional color on that?

Steve Benner - *PDL BioPharma - Chief Medical Officer*

Sure. In our discussions with the EMEA, they felt that while the guidelines allow for the possibility of registration with a single trial, that given inconsistency in results for previous heart failure therapies, that two trials were highly recommended so that's the reason that we're going from one to two trials. And the larger size of the safety data base overall, is predominantly to increase the estimate of safety especially around providing an estimate of mortality.

Brett Holley - *CIBC World Markets - Analyst*

Okay. And then the primary point of the second trial which is the smaller of the two trials will be similar to the first trial, or is it going to be different?

Steve Benner - *PDL BioPharma - Chief Medical Officer*

It will be different because it will include an assessment of pulmonary capillary wedge pressure since that will be a hemo-dynamic trial in which all patients will have a catheter placed.

Brett Holley - *CIBC World Markets - Analyst*

Okay. And then the last question is, I guess the extensibility of the EU results to the U.S. and your anticipation that a 3,000 patient trial seems like an awful robust trial. Is that not a trial the U.S. regulators would consider for licensure.

Steve Benner - *PDL BioPharma - Chief Medical Officer*

Yes. As I suggested, I believe those trial results will both be very helpful for the U.S. dossier. We won't be in a position to have that discussion with the FDA, however, until 2007, following the results of our initial IND trial. But, we do believe that the size and nature of these trials that we'll be conducting to secure registration in Europe, will greatly strengthen the U.S. dossier as well.

Brett Holley - *CIBC World Markets - Analyst*

Okay. Thanks a lot.

Operator

Thank you, Mr. Holly. Our next question comes from Elise Wang of Citigroup. Please proceed Ma'am.

Heather - *Smith Barney Citigroup - Analyst*

Hi. This is actually Heather for Elise. Just wondering if you can comment on the inventory impact and demand trends for the three ESP products and whether you can actually split out the sales for each this quarter?

Andrew Guggenheimer - *PDL BioPharma - CFO*

Heather, this is Andrew. In terms of splitting out the sales, that's not something we're going to be doing. We will be talking on Friday in much greater detail on each of our three marketed products. In terms of the overall trends, I think, as we eluded to earlier, certainly with respect to Cardene, very positive and for Retavase, stemming the trends over the past six months, so we are encouraged and as we indicated earlier reaffirming our product sales guidance for the balance of the year, although due primarily to some softness in Retavase expected to come in at the lower end of that range.

Heather - *Smith Barney Citigroup - Analyst*

Okay. And if I may, one more question on the reason for the change in the share count versus last quarter if you would.

Andrew Guggenheimer - *PDL BioPharma - CFO*

The current share count we provided on both the GAAP and non-GAAP basis reflects the current estimate, obviously starting with basic shares and then for non-GAAP numbers reflecting the impact of our restricted shares and options, as well as the shares in escrow. But, those reflect our current estimates for the balance of the year.

Heather - *Smith Barney Citigroup - Analyst*

Okay. That's helpful. Thank you.

Operator

Thank you, ma'am. Our next question comes from Mr. George Farmer of Wachovia Securities. Please proceed with your question, sir.

George Farmer - Wachovia Securities - Analyst

Hi. Thanks for taking my questions. I have a couple things. Regarding your revised expense guidance for 2006, with the ularitide trials not starting until the fourth quarter, how do you account for that increase so soon in the year and maybe you can give us some guidance on what you see going forward beyond '06 as far as R&D expenses?

Andrew Guggenhime - PDL BioPharma - CFO

George, this is Andrew. I will take the first part and turn it over to Steve. With respect to how we incur our costs, the bulk of this year will be focused on expenses with the CRO to set up the sites, in terms of the patient costs, we do expect those to be minimal this year with the impact of those really being felt next year. But, the primary driver as we talked about earlier, the increase in expense guidance is around ularitide, and it is really for payments of the CRO, and we incur those expenses based on the services they provide this year.

George Farmer - Wachovia Securities - Analyst

Okay. And, Mark, if you could comment a little bit more on the ESP Pharma revenue, significantly lighter than it was the past two quarters. Is that really all attributable to a new sales force or is there something else going on and maybe reiterate again what gives you confidence that you can hit your number this year.

Andrew Guggenhime - PDL BioPharma - CFO

George, why don't I take that and Mark can add if he has anything on this topic. Based on our experience, the team's experience in selling these products, Q1 is typically the lightest quarter, and as I alluded to in the prepared remarks, really three factors, the aggregate of which gives us the confidence we can achieve the full year numbers. First, 40% of our sales team has been with us since May of 2005, and those folks continue to ramp up the curve and get more productive, and we continue to see increasing levels of productivity by them. Secondly, we just launched promotional campaigns for both Retavase and Cardene, and are seeing the positive impact of those and just our underlying experience in the dynamics of the market again give us the confidence that we'll hit our range again, as I said earlier at the lower end.

Mark McDade - PDL BioPharma - CEO

George, additional comments from me, I think just historically as Andrew just said, we have seen trends that suggest first quarter is weaker than the remaining quarters. So, we're not surprised. Second, I think we are quite pleased with the productivity overall of all the additional reps that we've got, and so I think on Friday you will get a bit more detail at our business update on what exactly we're doing vis-a-vis each of the programs and how they're trending versus prior NDC data. So, we will for the first time break those out by product and disclose the quarter by quarter trends at least over the past year, if not a little longer.

George Farmer - Wachovia Securities - Analyst

Okay. And one more question if I may, just to elaborate on Joel's point earlier, this change in the royalty rate, can we assume that's going to be the same going forward for the rest of the year?

Andrew Guggenhime - PDL BioPharma - CFO

Let me clarify. Joel's question was with respect to our in-bound royalty revenue, and with respect to that arrangement that's based on the calendar year. In terms of the — I am not sure if your question was to be out-bound royalty payments with respect to our marketed products.

George Farmer - *Wachovia Securities - Analyst*

No, it's with respect to the in-bound royalty payments, with respect to the products that are marketed by Genentech and MedImmune.

Mark McDade - *PDL BioPharma - CEO*

Well, remember, again for the Genentech products, because this does not apply to MedImmune or any others programs, that everything resets at the beginning of the calendar year, so any payments since we were paid for fourth quarter activity in the first quarter and the clock is effectively reset in our second quarter because that's the quarter —

George Farmer - *Wachovia Securities - Analyst*

Right. With the lag.

Mark McDade - *PDL BioPharma - CEO*

Right.

George Farmer - *Wachovia Securities - Analyst*

Okay. Thank you.

Operator

Thank you, sir. Our next question comes from Mr. Tom McGahren of Merrill Lynch. Please proceed with your question, sir.

Tom McGahren - *Merrill Lynch - Analyst*

Thanks. Just one more question on just the product revenue. I remember last quarter, in the fourth quarter, there was an interruption, I believe, in shipment of Retavase and I was wondering if you ran into that problem again this quarter.

Andrew Guggenhime - *PDL BioPharma - CFO*

Tom, this is Andrew, no, we did not.

Tom McGahren - *Merrill Lynch - Analyst*

Okay. So, it's just inventory draw down?

Andrew Guggenhime - *PDL BioPharma - CFO*

Yes.

Tom McGahren - *Merrill Lynch - Analyst*

Okay. Thanks a lot.

Operator

All right. Thank you, sir. Our next question comes from Mr. Eric Hoffman of J.P. Morgan. Please proceed with your question.

Eric Hoffman - *JPMorgan Chase & Co. - Analyst*

Thanks for taking my question. Two questions. One, could you provide any more detail on the timeline for how fast you think you can enroll the ularitide pivotal program, where along that process might you license it potentially, and the second question is, can you provide any more color on the relative impact on the increased R&D spend of ularitide versus *Nuvion* versus other charges?

Andrew Guggenhime - *PDL BioPharma - CFO*

Okay. I will start with that. On the timeline, no, we really can't comment further at this point. We're in the process of discussing the EMEA recommendations internally and with our experts and we should be able to give you a much fuller picture at our fall R&D day with regards to the timing for that trial overall. And, I will ask Mark to address the question relating to partnering strategy.

Mark McDade - *PDL BioPharma - CEO*

On that front, Eric, we are initiating already partnering discussion because as I mentioned on the call we've been approached by quite a number of companies. So, I think at any point in time it is a possibility that we could partner the program, whether that's regional or more global remains to be seen based on the opportunities. In terms of the question vis-a-vis timeline overall we still think we're tracking for where we previously identified as a late '09 filing in Europe for ularitide .

Andrew Guggenhime - *PDL BioPharma - CFO*

And, Eric, I will take the tail end of that question which was the relative impact on our R&D expenses of *Nuvion* and ularitide . Those are the two drivers of the increase in our R&D expense guidance and ularitide impact represented about 75% of the total expense increase and *Nuvion* is the balance.

Eric Hoffman - *JPMorgan Chase & Co. - Analyst*

Great. Very helpful. Thanks.

Operator

Thank you, sir. Our next question comes from Jason Zhang of Prudential Equity Group. Please proceed with your question.

Jason Zhang - *Prudential Equity Group, LLC. - Analyst*

Thanks. My question is related to ularitide . Mark, I may have missed it. Are you reaffirming your timeline for a possible NDA filing, Europe during 2009 or is that going to change

Mark McDade - *PDL BioPharma - CEO*

We don't really give guidance, per se. But we've talked about a target filing in the second half of 2009 for ularitide .

Jason Zhang - *Prudential Equity Group, LLC. - Analyst*

Okay. Also, I know you have the worldwide rights to ularitide from CardioPep . If you do form another partnership, if you do get up-front payment, do you have to pay CardioPep , or really now your product and you have the freedom of licensing?

Mark McDade - PDL BioPharma - CEO

We haven't disclosed a lot of specifics. I can tell you there is not a pass through of those types of payments. We are, however, obligated to milestones and royalties under the existing CardioPep agreement.

Jason Zhang - Prudential Equity Group, LLC. - Analyst

Okay.

Operator

Thank you Mr. Zhang. Our next question comes from Phil Nadeau of Cowen. Please proceed with your question.

Phil Nadeau - SG Cowen & Co. - Analyst

Good afternoon. Thanks for taking my question. Just one question. And that's, in the past, you've said that you think 20% growth is possible for the ESP Pharma products for the next few years. Do you still believe that's possible—are you reiterating that guidance today?

Mark McDade - PDL BioPharma - CEO

We still believe that's possible. I will be presenting tomorrow at Deutsche Bank for example, and, in fact, it is slightly higher than that. We continue to guide to a belief that 25% CAGR can be achieved from '05 to '08 on both the ESP Pharma and Retavase acquired products, as well as on our royalty stream. We'll be elaborating a bit more specifically updating some of the sales projections on Friday for the three programs.

Phil Nadeau - SG Cowen & Co. - Analyst

Okay. And not to steal your thunder for Friday, but on Retavase, it does seem like sales there, at least from IMS data have been kind of lagging for the past couple years. Just briefly, what do you think you can do to reinvigorate that franchise?

Mark McDade - PDL BioPharma - CEO

What we're doing already, as Andrew noted, is a pretty significant new promotional campaign including all new materials which we didn't have before and including very focused sales efforts on those outlying hospitals, not on the city center hospitals, but those outliers that are smaller numbers of beds but higher users of lytics principally because of the distance from, typically from cath labs. okay. So, the more focused sales effort coupled with new promotional material, we think is going to start taking impact and as you will see Friday, the trends are encouraging. Just as an aside, we don't really typically rely on IMS because they're not as accurate in the hospital setting. We generally use and refer to NDC data which can give you a better indication of demand.

Phil Nadeau - SG Cowen & Co. - Analyst

Thank you.

Mark McDade - PDL BioPharma - CEO

Sure.

Operator

Thank you very much. There appears to be no further questions at this time, sir.

James Goff - PDL BioPharma - Director IR

Operator, thank you very much. We thank everyone for participating and we'll see you soon. Have a great day.

Operator

This concludes the PDL BioPharma first quarter 2006 earnings conference call. Thank you, everyone for joining. You may now disconnect.

PDL BioPharma, Retavase and Busulfex are considered trademarks and Nuvion is a registered U.S. trademark of PDL BioPharma, Inc. Cardene is a registered trademark of Hoffmann-La Roche. Herceptin is a registered trademark and Avastin is a trademark of Genentech, Inc.